```
(Proceedings heard telephonically:)
 1
             THE CLERK: 19 CR 277, USA versus Concepcion Malinek.
 2
 3
             THE DEFENDANT:
                             Yes.
             THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, everyone.
 4
 5
    This is Judge Chang. I'm going to prompt the participants to
 6
    state their appearances and then take just a pause for a
 7
    second so that the interpreter can catch up because we have
 8
    consecutive interpretation rather than simultaneous.
 9
             THE DEFENDANT: Okay.
10
         (Pause for translation.)
11
             THE COURT: Okay. First for the government.
12
             MR. PARENTE: Yes, Judge. It's Chris Parente for the
    United States.
13
14
             THE COURT: Okay. And then for the defense?
15
             MR. RASCIA: Good afternoon, your Honor. Robert
16
    Rascia for Ms. Malinek.
17
             THE COURT: And I just want to ask Ms. Malinek to go
18
    ahead and state her name.
19
             THE DEFENDANT (through interpreter): My name,
20
    Concepcion Malinek.
21
             THE COURT: And then for the record, the interpreter,
22
    please.
23
             THE INTERPRETER: Your Honor, good afternoon.
                                                             Jose
24
    Piña, Spanish interpreter.
25
             THE COURT: All right. We are -- we had planned on
```

convening a change of plea hearing, but I understand this has turned into a status hearing. I'm going to ask Mr. Rascia first to go ahead and tell me what the status is.

MR. RASCIA: Your Honor, over several meetings with Malinek, Ms. Malinek, we have prepared a plea declaration.

Ms. Malinek does intend to enter a guilty plea in this case.

And based on language that's in the plea declaration, the defendant is agreeing that she statutorily would be obligated to pay restitution in this case.

And it would now be to all of the victims identified in the superseding indictment, not just the victim in the count that she's agreed to plead guilty to.

To make sure that Ms. Malinek fully understands the obligation (inaudible) -- into by signing the plea declaration, we asked the government to provide to us a restitution figure prior to the time that she actually enters her plea so she knows fully what her obligations are.

Mr. Parente has advised me that he has some information relating to some of the victims but not all of the victims as we speak.

THE COURT: Okay. This is Judge Chang again. Let me ask the government to comment on the status then.

MR. PARENTE: Judge --

(Pause for translation.)

MR. PARENTE: The government agrees with Mr. Rascia's

summary of kind of where we are. I think as your Honor knows, the statutory -- for restitution, usually those numbers are provided post-plea but presentencing. I can let the Court know, in this case specifically, we have ten separate victims who are represented by attorneys who I believe are on this call and who are working with the individual victims to kind of get for defense counsel the government's best estimate of what the restitution will be. Obviously, that number --

THE COURT: Why don't you pause for a second, and we'll let Mr. Piña translate.

(Pause for translation.)

MR. PARENTE: And your Honor knows somewhat of the facts of this case. It is a labor trafficking case, and the defendant is liable for the full amount of the victims' losses which include -- you know, the easy numbers are how much they paid her as part of this debt servitude, but the more difficult numbers are going to be victim-specific including whether these victims need or want psychiatric care going forward and costs like that that are going to have to come from individual conversations with each of the represented victims which the government is working closely with the attorneys on.

(Pause for translation.)

THE COURT: Mr. Parente, do you have a timeline?

MR. PARENTE: I told defense counsel, your Honor, I

think two weeks would be sufficient to at least provide a -you know, a baseline number. Obviously, that number can go up
or down as we get closer to sentencing and different things
come up but again, obviously your Honor will be making the
final determination as to what the final number will be.

THE COURT: Okay. Here is my take on the situation.

It is, of course, 100 percent the defendant's decision on whether to proceed to a change of plea with or without the restitution figures in mind.

So yes, Mr. Piña, go ahead.

(Pause for translation.)

THE COURT: Because I want to steer well clear of getting into the middle of any plea negotiations, I will only say this. We have a trial date of August 31. And I have set aside that time to try the case. And the pretrial schedule has been suspended for now, but I need to restart it if we don't have a plea as we don't at this point.

Mr. Piña, go ahead, please.

THE INTERPRETER: Yes, your Honor.

(Pause for translation.)

THE COURT: Okay. So the best I can do for you with the pretrial conference of August 11 is to reset the joint pretrial statement deadline to July 27. That will include motions in limine, witness and exhibit lists, and jury instructions just as it was before.

Mr. Piña, you can go ahead.

THE INTERPRETER: Yes, your Honor.

(Pause for translation.)

THE COURT: The deadline for responses to motions in limine is August 3rd, and any replies are due August 6th. And the pretrial conference will stay where it is. I have another trial set for August 24 as well so that -- I'm sorry.

Go ahead, Mr. Piña.

(Pause for translation.)

THE COURT: So basically Ms. Malinek, with Mr. Rascia's advice, of course, will just have to decide for herself whether to proceed with a change of plea or, you know, whether this pretrial schedule is going to go forward. And at this point, I'm not going to set another change of plea date unless and until the parties reach out to me, and then I'll fit you in where I can.

Mr. Piña?

THE INTERPRETER: Yes, your Honor.

(Pause for translation.)

THE COURT: Okay. So, Mr. Rascia, you know, I appreciate where you and Ms. Malinek are in terms of your decision-making process. So I'll leave it in your hands and in her hands. And is there any other comment you would like to make at this time?

MR. RASCIA: No, Judge. Thank you for the additional

Mr. Parente has provided some information already to time. me, so I think we're hopefully going to be where we need to be before the deadline for the filing of any pretrial motions and the pleadings that are due on the 27th of July. I'll continue to communicate with Mr. Parente and hopefully, we can bring that to a resolution.

(Pause for translation.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Very well. Mr. Parente, anything else for the government?

> MR. PARENTE: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Then I'll just await word from the parties. And we are adjourned.

(Proceedings adjourned at 3:59 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE

I, Judith A. Walsh, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a complete, true, and accurate transcript of the telephonic proceedings had in the above-entitled case before the Honorable EDMOND E. CHANG, one of the judges of said court, at Chicago, Illinois, on July 16, 2020.

/s/ Judith A. Walsh, CSR, RDR, F/CRR

July 12, 2021

Official Court Reporter United States District Court Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division